1	
2 3 4 5 6 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 7 DISTRICT OF NEVADA 8 ***** 9 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA FOR THE ) USE AND BENEFIT OF EAST VALLEY GOLF, LTD., d.b.a. REVEGETATION ) SERVICES, an Arizona corporation,  11 12 12 13 14 ROAD AND HIGHWAY BUILDERS, L.L.C., 14 a Nevada limited liability company; LIBERTY) MUTUAL INSURANCE COMPANY, 15 16 17 AND RELATED CROSS ACTION.	
3 4 5 6 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 7 DISTRICT OF NEVADA 8 ******  9 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA FOR THE 10 USE AND BENEFIT OF EAST VALLEY 10 GOLF, LTD., d.b.a. REVEGETATION 11 SERVICES, an Arizona corporation, 11 Plaintiff, 12 Vs. 13 Nevada limited liability company; LIBERTY 15 MUTUAL INSURANCE COMPANY, 15 Defendants. 16 AND RELATED CROSS ACTION. 17	
4 5 6 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 7 DISTRICT OF NEVADA 8 ***** 9 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA FOR THE ) USE AND BENEFIT OF EAST VALLEY GOLF, LTD., d.b.a. REVEGETATION ) 03:03-cv-00457-LRH (RAM) SERVICES, an Arizona corporation, 11 Plaintiff, ORDER  vs. 13 Nevada limited liability company; LIBERTY) MUTUAL INSURANCE COMPANY, 15 Defendants. 16 AND RELATED CROSS ACTION.	
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  DISTRICT OF NEVADA  *****  UNITED STATES OF AMERICA FOR THE USE AND BENEFIT OF EAST VALLEY GOLF, LTD., d.b.a. REVEGETATION O3:03-cv-00457-LRH (RAM)  Plaintiff,  Plaintiff,  ORDER  VS.  ROAD AND HIGHWAY BUILDERS, L.L.C., a Nevada limited liability company; LIBERTY MUTUAL INSURANCE COMPANY,  Defendants.  AND RELATED CROSS ACTION.	
ORDER  ORDER  UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  DISTRICT OF NEVADA  ******  UNITED STATES OF AMERICA FOR THE USE AND BENEFIT OF EAST VALLEY  GOLF, LTD., d.b.a. REVEGETATION O3:03-cv-00457-LRH (RAM)  SERVICES, an Arizona corporation,  Plaintiff, ORDER  vs.  ROAD AND HIGHWAY BUILDERS, L.L.C., and a Nevada limited liability company; LIBERTY MUTUAL INSURANCE COMPANY,  Defendants.  AND RELATED CROSS ACTION.	
DISTRICT OF NEVADA  *****  UNITED STATES OF AMERICA FOR THE USE AND BENEFIT OF EAST VALLEY GOLF, LTD., d.b.a. REVEGETATION OSERVICES, an Arizona corporation, Plaintiff,  Vs.  ROAD AND HIGHWAY BUILDERS, L.L.C., a Nevada limited liability company; LIBERTY MUTUAL INSURANCE COMPANY,  Defendants.  AND RELATED CROSS ACTION.	
8	
9 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA FOR THE USE AND BENEFIT OF EAST VALLEY GOLF, LTD., d.b.a. REVEGETATION SERVICES, an Arizona corporation,  11 Plaintiff,  12 vs.  13 ROAD AND HIGHWAY BUILDERS, L.L.C., a Nevada limited liability company; LIBERTY MUTUAL INSURANCE COMPANY,  15 Defendants.  16 AND RELATED CROSS ACTION.	
USE AND BENEFIT OF EAST VALLEY GOLF, LTD., d.b.a. REVEGETATION SERVICES, an Arizona corporation,  Plaintiff,  Vs.  ROAD AND HIGHWAY BUILDERS, L.L.C., a Nevada limited liability company; LIBERTY MUTUAL INSURANCE COMPANY,  Defendants.  AND RELATED CROSS ACTION.  03:03-cv-00457-LRH (RAM)  ORDER  ORDER	
10 GOLF, LTD., d.b.a. REVEGETATION SERVICES, an Arizona corporation,  11 Plaintiff,  12 vs.  13 ROAD AND HIGHWAY BUILDERS, L.L.C., a Nevada limited liability company; LIBERTY MUTUAL INSURANCE COMPANY,  15 Defendants.  16 AND RELATED CROSS ACTION.	
Plaintiff,  ORDER  Plaintiff,  ORDER  Vs.  ROAD AND HIGHWAY BUILDERS, L.L.C., a Nevada limited liability company; LIBERTY MUTUAL INSURANCE COMPANY,  Defendants.  AND RELATED CROSS ACTION.	
vs. ROAD AND HIGHWAY BUILDERS, L.L.C., a Nevada limited liability company; LIBERTY) MUTUAL INSURANCE COMPANY,  Defendants.  AND RELATED CROSS ACTION.	
ROAD AND HIGHWAY BUILDERS, L.L.C.,  a Nevada limited liability company; LIBERTY)  MUTUAL INSURANCE COMPANY,  Defendants.  AND RELATED CROSS ACTION.	
a Nevada limited liability company; LÍBERTY)  MUTUAL INSURANCE COMPANY,  Defendants.  AND RELATED CROSS ACTION.	
Defendants.  16 AND RELATED CROSS ACTION.	
16 AND RELATED CROSS ACTION.	
)	
18	
Pursuant to court's order (Doc. #24), further proceedings in this matter were stayed	
20 pending arbitration of the parties' disputes. The parties were ordered to file a status report at	t
21 least every 90 days until dispute was resolved. Plaintiff filed an Interim Status Report on	
November 18, 2004 (Doc. #26). No further stipulation for extension or status report having by	been
23 filed, the court orders that a status report be filed by the parties within 30 days of entry of this	S
24 Order.	
25 IT IS SO ORDERED.	
DATED this 13th day of June, 2006.	
LARRY R. HICKS UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE	